

with their trades the “firm had bet against [subprime mortgages that] were handed out *before* 2006, and were for homes that already had appreciated in value” (emphasis added; Zuckerman, p. 159). They traded out of those positions and into later vintages, thinking they dodged a bullet. This was a widespread view, that subprime vintages prior to 2006 were much safer; it was supported by the data, as Paulson and Pellegrini found out. But, when the crisis came, there was no distinction between pre- and post-2006 vintages. Everything went down in value, including bonds linked to the earlier subprime vintages! Moreover, bonds completely unrelated to subprime risk, like triple-A bonds linked to credit card receivables, auto loans—everything went down in value! Paulson was right in targeting subprime, and he got the timing right. But, like everyone else, he got the magnitude of the crisis wrong. The tidal wave was much, much bigger than anyone expected. Thus, as late as March 2007 after house prices had already been in decline, Bernanke testified before Congress that “the problems in the subprime market were likely to be contained.”<sup>2</sup>

Why did *everything* go down in value? That is the question I posed at the outset. How did a small amount of subprime mortgages cause a systemic financial crisis? It remains unanswered by these authors. Zuckerman says the “guilt for the most painful economic collapse of modern times is shared by a long cast of sometimes unsavory characters. Ample amounts of chicanery, collusion, naiveté, downright stupidity, and old-fashioned greed compounded the damage” (p. 41). At the end of his book, he says that most of the usual explanations, e.g., bonuses and “toxic products,” are simplistic and overstated. But, he has no explanation. The epilogue of Lewis’ book mentions incentives—“they’re still wrong”—but this is the first time any explanation—other than greed and stupidity—is put forward, and there is nothing more said. Perhaps these books came out too early for serious answers. Many observers have since come to a better, if still incomplete,

<sup>2</sup> Fed Chairman Ben S. Bernanke, “The Economic Outlook,” prepared testimony before the Joint Economic Committee, U.S. Congress, 110th Congress, 1st session, March 28, 2007.

understanding of what happened. The answer to what caused the crisis got short shrift in these books and so the question remains.

#### REFERENCES

- Bossaerts, Peter. 2009. “Decision Making in Financial Markets.” In *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*, ed. Larry R. Squire, 339–46. Amsterdam and San Diego: Elsevier.
- Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission. 2011. *The Financial Crisis Inquiry Report*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Gorton, Gary B. 2010. *Slapped By the Invisible Hand: The Panic of 2007*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lo, Andrew W., Dmitry V. Repin, and Brett N. Steenbarger. 2005. “Fear and Greed in Financial Markets: A Clinical Study of Day-Traders.” *American Economic Review*, 95(2): 352–59.
- Mallaby, Sebastian. 2010. *More Money than God: Hedge Funds and the Making of a New Elite*. New York: Penguin Press.

GARY GORTON  
Yale University and NBER

## I Health, Education, and Welfare

*Britain’s War on Poverty*. By Jane Waldfogel. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2010. Pp. x, 270. \$37.50. ISBN 978–0–87154–897–9.

JEL 2010–1315

With a flair reminiscent of LBJ’s landmark visit to Appalachia to launch America’s War on Poverty in 1964, Prime Minister Tony Blair traveled to an East London settlement house in March 1999 to pledge his government’s goal to eradicate childhood poverty in Britain within a generation. At the outset of the Blair government, over one in four children were living in poverty, which surpassed even the one-in-five childhood poverty rate in the United States. Within a decade, the poverty rate of children in Britain was slashed in half on an absolute scale, and by about 16 percent on a relative scale.

In *Britain’s War on Poverty*, Jane Waldfogel presents a highly readable survey of the programs and policies launched over the past decade to help combat childhood poverty, along with the social science evidence on the success or lack thereof of the various reform components. Throughout the text, she draws parallels and distinctions between the policies adopted in Britain

and those implemented in the United States during the course of the 1990s welfare reforms. The ambitious British goal of eliminating childhood poverty stands in contradistinction to the rather narrow U.S. focus on eliminating dependence on cash welfare. Having made significant contributions to the evaluation of the safety net in both the United States and Britain, few people are as well positioned as Waldfogel to write such a sweeping comparative text as *Britain's War on Poverty*. Her book offers much to an international cross section of scholars and policymakers of reform.

Waldfogel sets the context for reform in chapter 1 by presenting trends in childhood poverty in historical and comparative terms. For nearly two decades, child poverty remained roughly constant at 10 percent of the population but, beginning in the late 1970s, it accelerated upward, increasing threefold by the early 1990s. In this period, Britain went from having among the most equal distribution of income in the OECD to among the most unequal. Herein lies the rub that Waldfogel frets over in this chapter and then again in chapter 6—poverty in Britain, and indeed in most of the OECD except for the United States, is measured in relative terms and not absolute terms. Previously, Britain measured poverty as family income below one-half the nationwide average family income. But, beginning in 2003, the official measure was changed to family income below 60 percent of median. With widening income inequality pervasive in the last two decades, it follows that poverty would increase in lockstep. It is thus nothing short of remarkable that, against this rising inequality, Britain was able to cut its relative child poverty rate in the early 2000s.

While presenting poverty trends for three different measures adopted by the U.K. government (absolute, relative, and material deprivation), Waldfogel, like many U.S. academics, seems to prefer an absolute poverty measure and thus argues that the reforms were more successful than official policy might suggest. She makes the case for an absolute measure on the premise that it more accurately reflects government policies directed to low-income families; that is, because relative measures are influenced by macroeconomic forces affecting inequality

largely outside the control of the policymaker, relative measures of poverty are less effective in quantifying government program effects. Certainly this was more problematic when Britain pegged its poverty measure to average income, but now, with the median as the reference income, the threshold is more robust to changes in the tails of the distribution. And if income is measured after taxes and transfers, then a relative income measure can certainly be informative of the effectiveness of government policy. While I am sympathetic to an absolute measure for a host of reasons, not least of which because they offer a transparent method of tracking trends over time, a more nuanced discussion of the issue is merited in the book given the central focus of the policy reform hitting benchmark poverty rates. In other words, if there is disagreement on how to get to our destination (absolute versus relative), then there will certainly be disagreement on whether we have arrived. For example, Amartya Sen (1992) argues that well-being is best measured by the human capability to participate in the broader context of social life, which points to relative measures of poverty. Some treatment of these issues, and of the debates within the policy and academic communities on the proper measure of poverty, would have been fruitful here.

Chapters 2–5 cover the heart of the New Deal reforms enacted by the Labour government to address childhood poverty. Each chapter is devoted to a major pillar of the reform package: promoting work and making work pay (chapter 2); enhanced financial support for families with children (chapter 3); investments in early childhood education (chapter 4); and investments in school-age children (chapter 5). Here we learn in lucid detail about policies such as the first national minimum wage, the Working Families Credit (akin to the Earned Income Tax Credit in the United States), the Child Benefit and Child Tax Credit, universal pre-K for three and four year olds, Sure Start (center-based care for low-income children under age 3), and educational maintenance allowances (conditional cash transfers for teenagers who remain enrolled in school). In the aggregate, these expenditures on families with children amounted to about 1 percent of GDP in 2002. Those looking for a primer on the

British versus U.S. reform packages will be well served by the comprehensive coverage in these chapters.

The bulk of the discussion on social science evaluation of the reforms is contained in chapter 6, which looks at the reforms through the lens of a decade of change. The evidence points to substantial gains against childhood poverty and material deprivation, improved child health and development, and spending on child-related goods and services. This stands in contrast to the evidence presented in James P. Ziliak (2009) that showed that a decade after welfare reform in the United States many low-skilled single mother families had lower after-tax and transfer incomes, did not spend more on children, were less likely to have any health insurance, and were more likely to be disconnected (not on welfare or in work) and in jobs not offering upward mobility. There are parallels in chapter 6 to the recent book by Janet M. Currie (2006), which surveyed social science research on a wide swath of the U.S. safety net, but unlike Currie there is not as much detail in this chapter on the data and methods used in evaluating the British reforms. There is a clear trade-off between readability and scientific detail, and Waldfogel chose the former. While making for a more accessible read, it does mean that the researcher seeking more information on the evaluations will have to turn to original source material.

The remaining two chapters focus on prescriptive policy proposals for further progress against poverty in Britain (chapter 7) and the United States (chapter 8). Many in Britain are alarmed that reductions against poverty stalled by 2004 and, in fact, have increased slightly in recent years. The increase in child poverty has been even more dramatic in the United States. No doubt that the severe recession affecting both countries has exacerbated the problem, but this reversal of fortune was in motion prior to the global financial meltdown. Waldfogel proposes additional reforms for Britain to undertake within the context of the major pillars underlying the Blair reforms. One which would be met with controversy in the United States, at least among economists, is her proposal to increase the minimum wage. Extensive research on U.S. labor markets suggests that the minimum wage has small disemployment effects (i.e., employment

falls 1–2 percent for each 10 percent increase in the minimum wage), and may not be as target efficient of an antipoverty tool as the Earned Income Tax Credit (Michael W. Horrigan and Ronald B. Mincy 1993; Richard V. Burkhauser, Kenneth A. Couch, and Andrew J. Glenn 1996; David Neumark and William L. Wascher 2007). There is no discussion of these issues in the book and, thus, the reader is left wondering what the evidence is on the effect and effectiveness of the minimum wage in Britain vis-à-vis other policies like the Working Families Credit.

With the new Conservative government led by David Cameron pledging massive reductions in government spending, along with pressure in the United States to set an ambitious agenda to cut the debt, additional expenditures on new programs to combat poverty seem elusive. With bipartisan support, the British government in 2010 recommitted itself to tackle child poverty, but no such pledge has been forthcoming in the United States at the federal level. As the fiftieth anniversary of America's War on Poverty rapidly approaches, the research and policy communities are advised to turn to Jane Waldfogel's provocative book for lessons on the British experience in redesigning the safety net.

#### REFERENCES

- Burkhauser, Richard V., Kenneth A. Couch, and Andrew J. Glenn. 1996. "Public Policies for the Working Poor: The Earned Income Tax Credit versus Minimum Wage Legislation." In *Research in Labor Economics*, ed. Solomon W. Polachek, 65–109. Greenwich, Conn. and London: JAI Press.
- Currie, Janet M. 2006. *The Invisible Safety Net: Protecting the Nation's Poor Children and Families*. Princeton and Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Horrigan, Michael W., and Ronald B. Mincy. 1993. "The Minimum Wage and Earnings and Income Inequality." In *Uneven Tides: Rising Inequality in America*, ed. Sheldon Danziger and Peter Gottschalk, 251–75. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Neumark, David, and William L. Wascher. 2007. "Minimum Wages and Employment." *Foundations and Trends in Microeconomics*, 3(1–2): 1–186.
- Sen, Amartya. 1992. *Inequality Reexamined*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Ziliak, James P., ed. 2009. *Welfare Reform and Its Long-Term Consequences for America's Poor*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.

JAMES P. ZILIAK  
University of Kentucky

Copyright of Journal of Economic Literature is the property of American Economic Association and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.